

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD

916 CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 8539 OF 2017
IN X-OBJST/20282/2017 WITH CA/11248/2015 IN FA/3111/2015

NARAYAN SONU BARKALE THR SPECIAL POWER OF ATTORNEY
PRAKASH BHIKARI BARKALE
VERSUS
THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA AND ORS

...
Advocate for Applicant : Mr. Gunale V.d.
AGP for Respondent No.1: Mr. S.N. Morampalle
Advocate for Respondent No.2 : Mrs. Chaitali Kutti-Choudhari
.....

CORAM : V. K. JADHAV, J.
DATED : 28th JULY, 2017

PER COURT:-

1. Heard. Notice to the respondents. Learned A.G.P. waives notice for respondent No.1 and Mrs. Kutti, learned counsel waives notice for respondent No.2 acquiring body.
2. Learned counsel for the applicant submits that due to financial constraints the applicant/original claimant could not prefer the cross objection within limitation and as such delay is occurred. Learned counsel for the acquiring body has strongly resisted the application on the ground that delay has not been explained satisfactorily.
3. Considering the submissions made on behalf of the applicant and for the reasons stated in the application, application is allowed in

terms prayer clause "B" and disposed of.

4. In cross objection, issue notice to the respondents.

5. Learned A.G.P. waives notice for respondent No.1 and Mrs. Kutti, learned counsel waives notice for respondent No.2 acquiring body.

(V. K. JADHAV, J.)

rlj/