

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**WRIT PETITION NO. 6433 OF 2011
(Suryakant S/o. Vithalrao Supekar Vs. The State of
Maharashtra and others)**

Mr. Vivek V. Bhavthankar, Advocate for the petitioner
Mr. S.N. Morampalle, A.G.P. for respondents No. 1, 3
and 4 to 6
Mr. R.O. Awasarmal, Advocate for respondent No.2
Mr.H.K. Munde, Advocate for respondent No.7

**CORAM : SUNIL P. DESHMUKH AND
SANGITRAO S. PATIL, JJ.**

DATE : 6th NOVEMBER, 2017

ORAL ORDER :

Heard.

2. Looking at the subject matter of writ petition, in respect of handling charge of the concerned post, both concerned persons having retired during pendency of writ petition, nothing survives in the petition to be fruitfully done.

3. Writ petition, as such, is disposed of as infructuous.

**[SANGITRAO S. PATIL]
JUDGE**

**[SUNIL P. DESHMUKH]
JUDGE**