

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD

WRIT PETITION NO. 6440 OF 2016

Meenakshi Narendra Patil

.. **Petitioner**

Versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

.. **Respondents**

Mr. Ajay D. Pawar, Advocate for the Petitioner.

Shri S. W. Mundhe, A. G. P. for Respondent No. 1.

Respondent No. 2 served.

Mrs. Chaitali Choudhary Kutti, Advocate for Respondent Nos. 3 to 6.

Shri Vijay B. Patil, Advocate for Respondent No. 7.

**CORAM : S. V. GANGAPURWALA &
MANGESH S. PATIL, JJ.**

DATE : 14th September, 2017

PER COURT :

1. Mrs. Kutti, learned counsel for respondent Nos. 3 to 6 submits that the respondent No. 5 has passed the order as against respondent No. 7, thereby removing respondent No. 7 compulsorily. The copy of the order is placed on record and the same is marked as "X" for identification.

2. The learned counsel for respondent No. 7 submits that, he does not have any instructions in that regard.

3. In view of the contention of the learned counsel for respondent Nos. 3 to 6 and the order placed on record nothing remains for adjudication in the writ petition.

4. The writ petition is accordingly stands disposed of. No costs.

[MANGESH S. PATIL, J.]

[S. V. GANGAPURWALA, J.]

marathe/sep.17