

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE
AT BOMBAY**

BENCH AT AURANGABAD.

MISC.CIVIL APPLICATION NO.103 OF 2017

Sau.Archana W/o Harshal Wankhede ... Applicant.

Versus

Shri Harshal S/o Dadarao
Wankhede. ...Respondent.

...
Mr.S.R.Patil, advocate for the applicant.
Mr.A.S.Andhale, advocate for Respondent absent.
...

CORAM : N.W.SAMBRE, J.

Date : 27.07.2017.

PER COURT :

1. The matter was listed yesterday, however, none appears for the Respondent. Today also when the matter is called out, none appears for the Respondent.

2. The claim for transfer is opposed on

the ground that non-applicant is ready and willing to pay travel expenses to the applicant. However, in absence of any assistance on the part of non-applicant in quantifying the travel conveyance allowance, the said submission of the non-applicant is rejected.

3. Considering the convenience and hardship, in my opinion, the application needs to be allowed in terms of prayer clause (A).

(N.W.SAMBRE, J.)

asp/office/Mca103.17

