

(1)

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

WRIT PETITION NO.6305 OF 2016

Balasaheb s/o Janardhan Warkhade,
age : 35 years, occu. Service,
R/o House No.81, Laxmikant Nagar,
Ambad Road, Jalna – 431 203

..PETITIONER

VERSUS

1. The Zilla Parishad, Jalna,
Through its Chief Executive Officer,
Jalna
2. The Deputy Chief Executive Officer
(General),
Zilla Parishad, Jalna
3. The Deputy Chief Executive Officer
(Panchayat),
Zilla Parishad,
Jalna
4. The Deputy Chief Executive Officer
(Child Development),
Zilla Parishad,
Jalna
5. The Child Development Project
Officer (In-charge),
Integrated Child Development Scheme,
Ghansavangi, Project – 2, Dist. Jalna
6. The Block Development Officer,
Panchayat Samitee, Ambad,
Tq. Ambad, Dist. Jalna

..RESPONDENTS

Mr J.M. Murkute, Advocate for petitioner;
Mr S.S. Tope, Advocate, A.G.P. for respondents

**CORAM : SHANTANU S. KEMKAR &
NITIN W. SAMBRE, JJ.**

DATE : 28th August, 2017

P.C.

Challenging the suspension order dated 25th May, 2016, the petitioner has filed this petition.

2. Having gone through the impugned suspension order and having considered the submissions made by the learned Counsel for the parties, we do not find any ground to interfere into the impugned suspension order. However, the grievance of the petitioner is that he has reported at the headquarter - Panchayat Samiti, Jalna where he has been ordered to report in pursuance to the impugned suspension order, but the respondents are not allowing him to report.

3. Learned Counsel appearing for the respondents, on the other hand submits that the petitioner did not report at the place where he is supposed to report in pursuance to the impugned order.

4. Be that as it may, we dispose of this petition by directing the petitioner to report at Panchayat Samiti, Jalna tomorrow at 11.00 a.m.. The respondents shall then proceed against the petitioner in accordance with law.

5. We also record the statement made by the respondents in their reply that as soon as the petitioner reports, the subsistence allowance will be released in his favour.

(3)

6. With the aforesaid observations and directions, the petition is disposed of.

7. In view thereof, pending Civil Application does not survive and stands disposed of.

8. Parties to act on authenticated copy of this order.

(NITIN W. SAMBRE, J.)

(SHANTANU S. KEMKAR, J.)

amj