

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE OF BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD

CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 10485 OF 2005
WITH
CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 1581 OF 2006
IN
WRIT PETITION NO. 697 OF 2005

KHANDESH VAN SHRAMIK SANGHTANA
VERSUS
THE STATE OF MAHARAHSTRA

...
Advocate for Applicants : Shri V.Y.Patil
AGP for Respondents 1 & 2 : Shri N.T.Bhagat

...
CORAM : RAVINDRA V. GHUGE, J.
Dated: February 03, 2017

...

PER COURT :-

1. Heard learned Advocates for the respective parties.
2. The first civil application is partly allowed in terms of prayer clause (B) considering the averments in the application.
3. The State shall accordingly, add the names of the two employees along with their address mentioned below paragraph No.3 in the cause title of the petition, within one week from today, by deleting the name of the Union. Shri Patil, learned Advocate waives service for the said added respondents.
4. By the second civil application, the applicant prays for listing the writ petition for final hearing.

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE OF BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD

2 - CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 10485 OF 2005 & ORS

5. For the reasons set out in the application, same is allowed. List Writ Petition No.697 of 2005 for final hearing on 16.2.2017. The request for withdrawal of amount of Rs.28,620/- by each of the two respondents shall be considered along with the Writ Petition.

(RAVINDRA V. GHUGE, J.)

...