

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE OF BOMBAY  
BENCH AT AURANGABAD

30 WRIT PETITION NO. 10645 OF 2016

NARAYAN BAJIRAO ITHAR AND OTHERS  
VERSUS  
THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA AND OTHERS

...

Advocate for Petitioners : Mr.Avinash D Aghav  
AGP for Respondent Nos. 1,3 and 4: Mr. S.B. Pulkundwar.  
Adv. for respondent No.2 : Mr. A.D. Wange.

CORAM : S.V. GANGAPURWALA  
& K.K. SONAWANE, JJ.  
DATE : 19th September , 2017.

**PER COURT:**

1] Mr. Aghav, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner has filed representation (Exhibit D) with the respondent No.3 regarding the disparity in the payment of compensation amount. However, no decision is taken on it. We have heard learned AGP for respondent No.3.

2] In case the representation (Exhibit D) is pending with the respondent No.3 then the respondent No.3 shall take decision upon it, on its own merit, in accordance with law, expeditiously, preferably within six months. Writ petition is disposed of accordingly.

[K.K. SONAWANE]  
JUDGE

[S.V. GANGAPURWALA]  
JUDGE.

grt/-