

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

CIVIL APPLICATION NO.11590 OF 2011 IN FAST/18026/2011

THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA
VERSUS
SAKHARAM RAMBHAU SARODE AND OTHERS

...

WITH

CA/11595/2011 IN FAST/18038/2011

WITH

CA/11597/2011 IN FAST/18050/2011

WITH

CA/11599/2011 IN FAST/18057/2011

WITH

CA/11601/2011 IN FAST/18061/2011

WITH

CA/11603/2011 IN FAST/18043/2011

...

Advocate for Applicant : Mr B V Virdhe
Advocate for Respondents : Mr S B Jadhav
Mr B T Bodkhe advocate for respondents.

...

CORAM : V.K. JADHAV, J.

Dated: July 26, 2017

...

PER COURT :-

1. Heard.
2. The learned AGP for the applicant-State submits that, due to some administrative difficulties, the appeals could not be preferred within a period of limitation. The learned AGP submits that, delay is not intentional one and the State was prevented from sufficient cause to prefer the appeals within a period of limitation.

aaa/-

3. Learned counsel for respondents-claimants strongly resisted the application on the ground that delay has not been explained satisfactorily.

4. However, considering the submissions made on behalf of the applicant-State and for the reasons stated in the application, it appears that, the applicant State was prevented for sufficient cause to prefer an appeal within limitation. Delay is not intentional one.

5. In view of the same, the applications for condonation of delay are allowed in terms of its prayer clauses. Delay caused in filing the appeals are hereby condoned. Civil applications accordingly disposed of.

6. In appeal, issue notice to the Respondents. Learned counsel waives notice for respondents-claimants.

(V.K. JADHAV, J.)

...