

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

WRIT PETITION NO. 6709 OF 2017

Balaji Vitthalrao Bangar .. Petitioner

Versus

The State of Maharashtra and another .. Respondents

WITH

WRIT PETITION NO. 6710 OF 2017

Naresh Narayan Bangar .. Petitioner

Versus

The State of Maharashtra and another .. Respondents

WITH

WRIT PETITION NO. 6712 OF 2017

Naresh Narayan Bangar .. Petitioner

Versus

The State of Maharashtra and another .. Respondents

WITH

WRIT PETITION NO. 6713 OF 2017

Kailas Ramchandra Bangar .. Petitioner

Versus

The State of Maharashtra and another .. Respondents

WITH

WRIT PETITION NO. 6714 OF 2017

Shivaji Dattrao Bangar .. Petitioner

Versus

The State of Maharashtra and another .. Respondents

Shri S. S. Thombre, Advocate for the Petitioner in all matters.
Shri D. R. Kale, A.G.P. for the Respondent No. 1 in all matters.
Shri Vivek Bhavthankar, Advocate for the Respondent No. 2 in all matters.

**CORAM : S.C.DHARMADHIKARI AND
MANGESH S. PATIL, JJ.
DATE : 13TH JULY, 2017.**

PER COURT :

. After the writ petitions are argued for some time and when we brought to the notice of petitioners' advocate Shri Thombre that, there are disputed questions of fact, petitioners have alternate efficacious remedy of appeal, leave is sought to withdraw these writ petitions. Leave granted.

2. Writ Petitions are disposed of as withdrawn. Ad-interim order does not survive after disposal of writ petitions. No costs.

[MANGESH S. PATIL, J.]

[S.C.DHARMADHIKARI, J.]

bsb/July 17