

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY,
BENCH AT AURANGABAD.

CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 8673 OF 2009
IN FAST/16962/2009

WITH CA/8675/2009 IN FAST/16957/2009
WITH CA/8677/2009 IN FAST/16865/2009
WITH CA/8679/2009 IN FAST/16972/2009
WITH CA/8681/2009 IN FAST/16968/2009

THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA
VERSUS
DAYANAND S/O. BABURAO BADE AND ANOTHER

...

AGP for Applicant : Mr.B.V.Virde.

Advocate for Respondent No.1 : Mr. Vijay G. Sakolkar.

...

CORAM : **V. K. JADHAV, J.**

DATE : 04th January, 2017.

P.C.:

. Heard the learned AGP for Applicant and the learned counsel for
Respondent / original Claimant.

2 The learned AGP submits that though the proposal was sent for
approval, same was received belatedly and therefore, the appeal could
not be preferred within time.

3 The learned counsel for Respondent / original Claimant has
strongly resisted the application.

4 In view of above submissions and for the reasons stated in the applications, the delay of 451 days caused in filing the appeals is hereby condoned. Civil applications are accordingly disposed of.

[V. K. JADHAV, J.]

ndm