

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

WRIT PETITION NO. 7030 OF 2017

HOTEL ROHIT PARA THROUGH ITS
PROP D.V. SHELKE
VERSUS
THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA AND OTHERS

with

WRIT PETITION NO. 6995 OF 2017

HOTEL JANKI THR ITS PROPRIETOR
JAYAWANT BABANRAO PALAGE AND ORS
VERSUS
THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA AND OTHERS

with

WRIT PETITION NO. 6981 OF 2017

HOTEL LOKPRIYA THROUGH ITS PROP
LAXMAN DASHRATH AND ORS.
VERSUS
THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA AND OTHERS

with

WRIT PETITION NO. 6980 OF 2017

HOTEL DHANASHRI THROUGH ITS PROP
NITIN KHANDERAO CHEDE AND ORS.
VERSUS
THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA AND OTHERS

with

WRIT PETITION NO. 6610 OF 2017

HOTEL SUPRIYA PERMIT ROOM TAMBRI VIBHAG THROUGH ITS PROP
S.D. SHINDE
VERSUS

THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA AND OTHERS

with

WRIT PETITION NO. 7031 OF 2017

SACHIN BEER BAR AND PERMIT ROOM THROUGH ITS PROP
H.D. NARKE AND ORS.

VERSUS

THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA AND OTHERS

with

WRIT PETITION NO. 7032 OF 2017

SAIRAJ BEER BAR AND PERMIT ROOM THROUGH ITS PROP
G.J. KAMBLE ` AND ORS.

VERSUS

THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA AND OTHERS

with

WRIT PETITION NO. 7051 OF 2017

HOTEL RAIGAD BEER BAR AND PERMIT ROOM THROUGH ITS PROP
R.S. KEWARE AND ORS.

VERSUS

THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA AND OTHERS

with

WRIT PETITION NO. 7058 OF 2017

HOTEL SAMADHAN DHABA BEER BAR AND PERMIT ROOM THROUGH ITS
PARTNER S.M. WAVARE NARKE AND ORS.

VERSUS

THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA AND OTHERS

...

Advocate for Petitioners : Mr. Anand V. Patil (Indrale)

GP for Respondents/State : Mr. A.B. Girase

AGP for Respondents/State : Mr. A.R. Kale

...

**CORAM : S.C. DHARMADHIKARI &
MANGESH S. PATIL, JJ.
DATE : 24.07.2017**

P.C. :-

. Mentioned. Not on board. By consent taken on board.

2. Having heard the Petitioner's Advocate, we do not think that a view different, than taken in Writ Petition No.5182/2017 (*Hotel Sonai Beer Bar and Permit Room vs. State of Maharashtra and others*) decided by us on 07.07.2017, as well as in Writ Petition No. 6879/2017 (*Hotel Vrundawan vs. State of Maharashtra*) decided on 10.07.2017, can be taken. We are of the opinion that the controversy is fully covered by our orders in Writ Petition Nos. 5182/2017 and 6879/2017.

2. The Writ Petitions are, therefore, dismissed.

[MANGESH S. PATIL, J.]

[S.C. DHARMADHIKARI, J.]