

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD

WRIT PETITION NO.12531 OF 2017

Digambar Vishwanath Bodewar

...Petitioner

Versus

Maharashtra State Board of Secondary and
Higher Secondary Education Through Its
Divisional Secretary

...Respondent

Mr. P. P. Uttarwar, Advocate for petitioner

Ms. Sakshi Joshi, Advocate holding for Mrs. Surekha Mahajan,
Advocate for respondent

**CORAM : R. M. BORDE &
SMT. VIBHA KANKANWADI. JJ.**

DATE : 07-11-2017

PER COURT :

1. The petitioner appeared for H.S.C. examination in the year 2008 and is shown to have been failed in three subjects. A proposal tendered after lapse of eight years, has been rightly turned down by the H.S.C. board relying upon Rule No.102 which prescribes limitation for recording correction of six months.

2. There is absolutely no explanation as to why the proposal has been moved after lapse of eight years. The request made by the

petitioner has been rightly turned down by the H.S.C. board.

3. No interference is called for in exercise of extraordinary jurisdiction by this court under Article 226 of Constitution of India.

4. Writ petition is devoid of merits, hence stands rejected.

[SMT. VIBHA KANKANWADI]
JUDGE

[R. M. BORDE]
JUDGE

vjg/-.