

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE OF BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD

CONTEMPT PETITION NO. 544 OF 2016
IN WP/1266/2016

SUNIL MAHADAPPA BHAVIKATTE
VERSUS
MEETA RAJIV LOCHAN AND OTHERS

...
Advocate for Petitioner : Shri A N Gaddime
AGP for Respondents: Shri N T Bhagat
Advocate for Respondent 3 : Shri P V Barde

...
CORAM : RAVINDRA V. GHUGE, J.
Dated: February 03, 2017
...

PER COURT :-

1. The learned AGP places on record a communication dated 2.2.2017, received from the competent authority of the Urban Development Department indicating that the proposal to be forwarded would be taken up for decision and the entire process would be completed within six weeks from today.
2. Copy of the communication dated 2.2.2017 is taken on record and marked as Exhibit "X" for identification.
3. Learned Advocate for the petitioner submits that though he is satisfied with the said statement made on behalf of the Department, he is worried that the said statement may not be honoured. Learned AGP submits that no further extension of time would be sought.

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE OF BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD

2 - CONTEMPT PETITION NO. 544 OF 2016

4. Considering the above, the petitioner submits that this petition can be disposed of by recording this statement.

5. This petition is, therefore, being disposed off in the light of the statement recorded with the observation that in the event the said statement is not honoured, the act on the part of the concerned authorities would amount to an aggravated contempt.

(RAVINDRA V. GHUGE, J.)

...