

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

932 WRIT PETITION NO. 6210 OF 2017

MAHESH BABU KHOT
VERSUS
THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA AND OTHERS

...

Advocate for Petitioner : Mr. Jadhavar Pratap V.
AGP for Respondents:

...

with

WRIT PETITION NO. 6219 OF 2017

ABHIJEET ATUL WAGHMARE
VERSUS
THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA AND OTHERS

with

WRIT PETITION NO. 6220 OF 2017

SUDHIR JAGANNATH MANE
VERSUS
THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA AND OTHERS

with

WRIT PETITION NO. 6222 OF 2017

SAVITA SHIVAJI GHANTE
VERSUS
THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA AND OTHERS

with

WRIT PETITION NO. 6226 OF 2017

ASHABAI JAGANNATH SURYAWANSHI
VERSUS
THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA AND OTHERS

with
WRIT PETITION NO. 6238 OF 2017

PRAKASH VYANKAT SARJE
VERSUS
THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA AND OTHERS

...
Advocate for Petitioner : Mr. Jadhavar Pratap V.
AGP for Respondents: Mr. P.S. Patil
...

**CORAM : S.C. DHARMADHIKARI &
MANGESH S. PATIL, JJ.**
DATE : 12.07.2017.

P.C. :-

. In view of the order dated 22.06.2017 passed by this Court in Writ Petition No.5812/2017 (Gokul Sambhaji Ghadage vs. The State of Maharashtra) as well as several other orders passed by this Court concerning the mistake and error in spelling of the tribe/ caste in the certificates issued by the competent authorities, these Writ Petitions are also disposed of with the following directions:-

- (a) The impugned order passed by the Scrutiny Committee invalidating the tribe/caste claim of the Petitioners are set aside.
- (b) We direct the competent Scrutiny Committee to scrutinize and verify the claim of the Petitioners as belonging to "KOLI MAHADEV" Scheduled Tribe (Entry 29) on merits and in

accordance with law.

- (c) The Scrutiny Committee should overlook the mistake and error in the spelling of the tribe/ caste in the certificate issued to the Petitioners.
- (d) The Scrutiny Committee shall complete this assignment as expeditiously as possible.
- (e) Till the Scrutiny Committee decides the matter and communicates it's order, the services of the Petitioners shall not be terminated on the ground that the Petitioners have failed to produce the validity certificate.

[MANGESH S. PATIL, J.]

[S.C. DHARMADHIKARI, J.]