

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

907 WRIT PETITION NO. 8431 OF 2017

Bhaskar Sarjerao Darade & others.

...Petitioners.

Versus

The State Election Commission, Mumbai
& others.

...Respondents.

Mr. S.S. Wagh, advocate for petitioners.

Mr. S.P. Sonpawale, A.G.P. for respondent no.1.

...

CORAM : R.M. BORDE &

SMT.VIBHA KANKANWADI, JJ

DATED : 12th September, 2017.

PER COURT :

1. The petitioners are objecting to the construction being raised by the respondent in the adjoining property. According to the petitioners, the respondents have relied on certain forged documents and records for securing municipal permission. Petitioners further contend that the respondent has no rights in relation to the adjacent property and proposed construction being raised by the respondents, is illegal.

2. Considering the nature of grievance raised in the petition, it would be appropriate for the petitioners to avail alternate remedy available in law.

3. Keeping option of the petitioners open to avail

alternate remedy as would be available in law, the Writ Petition is disposed of.

[SMT.VIBHA KANKANWADI]
Judge

[R.M. BORDE]
Judge

nbs .