

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

45 CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 8993 OF 2016
IN WP/4091/1994

LAXMAN DEONATH SANGALE AND
VERSUS
THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA AN

...

Advocate for Applicants : Mr. Chitnis J.G. Jayant Chitnis &
AGP for Respondents: Mr. S.G. Yawalkar
Advocate for Respondents : Mr. P.R. Katneshwarkar and V.P. Deshmukh
for R/2.

...

**CORAM : S.C. DHARMADHIKARI &
MANGESH S. PATIL, JJ.
DATE : 24.07.2017**

P.C. :-

. The petitioner has already obtained the relief in the final judgment and order dated 10.07.2014 delivered in writ petition no. 4091 of 1994.

2. This Court has directed that the fourth respondent should pass a fresh award to determine the compensation in terms of the judgment.

3. The proceedings have to be concluded within a period of one year. Later on, the S.L.A.O. addressed a communication on 17.11.2015 informing the Municipal Council Ambad to deposit the compensation. In

the circumstances, when this amount is determined, it has to be paid over. This civil application is moved to seek a direction to release the funds for making payment to the present petitioners/civil applicants.

4. We do not think that we can extend and enlarge the scope of the proceedings, namely, writ petition no. 4091 of 1994 which stands disposed of. Nothing remains therein so as to take note of the grievance of the applicants. In any event, these are all subsequent developments for which distinct remedies have been provided by law. Civil application is entirely misconceived and is disposed of.

[MANGESH S. PATIL, J.]

[S.C. DHARMADHIKARI, J.]

mub