

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

931 WRIT PETITION NO. 6167 OF 2017

SAINATH DHARAJI DEWALWAD
VERSUS
THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA AND OTHERS

...
Advocate for Petitioner : Mr. Vibhute Sunil M.
AGP for Respondents: Mr. S.B. Yawalkar
Advocate for Respondents : Mr. V.S. Panpatte for R/4, 5
...

**CORAM : S.C. DHARMADHIKARI &
MANGESH S. PATIL, JJ.**

DATE : 12.07.2017.

P.C. :-

. In the light of the earlier order, it is apparent that the petitioner is not to be blamed nor is he responsible for the delay in disposal of the proceedings before the committee. His appointment is also not terminated by the management. In such circumstances, we would expect the respondent nos. 1 and 3 to render all assistance to the petitioners and in the event the salary is withheld, the same be released with all arrears as expeditiously as possible. The management shall ignore any communication or directive of the respondent no.3, once we have clarified the peculiar circumstances of this case.

2. We direct that the petitioner's appointment shall be subject to

the final order of the Scrutiny Committee and no equities can be claimed in the event the claim is rejected by the committee. All consequences shall, then, follow and it will be open for the respondent nos.1 and 3 as well to take necessary action and in accordance with law. The writ petition is disposed of.

[MANGESH S. PATIL, J.]

[S.C. DHARMADHIKARI, J.]