

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 8859 OF 2017
IN
SECOND APPEAL NO. 15352 OF 2017**

Gitabai Baburao katore and others ...Applicants

Versus

Shevantabai Rangnath Vadak and others ...Respondents

Mr. V. D. Sapkal, Advocate for the applicants.
Mr. S. S. Chapalgaonkar, Advocate for respondent no.1(A)

CORAM : SUNIL P. DESHMUKH, J.

DATE : 11th AUGUST, 2017

PER COURT :

1. Heard learned counsel Mr. V.D. Sapkal, for applicants and learned counsel Mr. S.S. Chapalgaonkar for respondent No.1(A).
2. Looking at the reasons as are appearing in application, though learned counsel for respondent No.1(A) purports to resist application, yet he is not in a position to challenge veracity of contents in the application and looking at general prevailing legal

position about that applications for delay condonation are to be approached liberally, it is expedient to condone delay which does not appear to be deliberate.

3. Civil application stands granted in terms of prayer clause "B", and is disposed of.

(SUNIL P. DESHMUKH, J.)

vjg/-