

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD

WRIT PETITION NO. 4577 OF 2016
(Suresh Raosaheb Akhade Vs. The State of Maharashtra and others)

Mr. Nikhil S. Tekale, Advocate for the Petitioner
Mr. A.R. Borulkar, A.G.P. for respondent Nos. 1 to 4

CORAM : V.M. KANADE AND
SANGITRAO S. PATIL, JJ.

DATE : 9th January, 2017

PER COURT :

Heard.

2. The grievance of the petitioner is that he has made several representations for grant of appropriate subsidy amount for his land. Those representations have not been decided by the respondents.

3. The petition can be conveniently disposed of by directing the respondents to consider and decide the representation/s made by the petitioner. The writ petition is allowed in terms of prayer clause (D) of the petition. The said representation shall be decided within six weeks on merit and in accordance with law,

after scrutinizing all the documents submitted by the petitioner.

4. With the above directions, the writ petition is disposed of.

[SANGITRAO S. PATIL]
JUDGE

[V.M. KANADE]
JUDGE

npj/wp4577-2016