

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE OF BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD

WRIT PETITION NO. 1093 OF 2017

Navnath s/o Manik Waghmare

Petitioner

Versus

The State of Maharashtra
& others

Respondents

Mr. S.P. Joshi, advocate for petitioner.
Mr. S.B. Yawalkar, A.G.P. for respondents.

WITH
WRIT PETITION NO. 1094 OF 2017

Shrikrushna s/o Tukaram Dhage

Petitioner

Versus

The State of Maharashtra
& others

Respondents

Mr. S.P. Joshi, advocate for petitioner.
Mr. S.B. Yawalkar, A.G.P. for respondents.

WITH
WRIT PETITION NO. 1095 OF 2017

Rameshwar s/o Satwaji Purale

Petitioner

Versus

The State of Maharashtra
& others

Respondents

Mr. S.P. Joshi, advocate for petitioner.
Mr. S.B. Yawalkar, A.G.P. for respondents.

WITH
WRIT PETITION NO. 1096 OF 2017

Santosh Ashruba Dhage

Petitioner

Versus

The State of Maharashtra
& others

Respondents

Mr. S.P. Joshi, advocate for petitioner.
Mr. S.B. Yawalkar, A.G.P. for respondents.

WITH
WRIT PETITION NO.1097 OF 2017

Anant s/o Bansidhar Jadhavar

Petitioner

Versus

The State of Maharashtra
& others

Respondents

Mr. S.P. Joshi, advocate for petitioner.
Mr. S.B. Yawalkar, A.G.P. for respondents.

WITH
WRIT PETITION 1098 OF 2017

Ashok s/o Sambhaji Gitte

Petitioner

Versus

The State of Maharashtra
& others

Respondents

Mr. S.P. Joshi, advocate for petitioner.
Mr. S.B. Yawalkar, A.G.P. for respondents.

WITH
WRIT PETITION NO. 1099 OF 2017

Bhagwan Sarjerao Shelke

Petitioner

Versus

The State of Maharashtra
& others

Respondents

Mr. S.P. Joshi, advocate for petitioner.
Mr. S.B. Yawalkar, A.G.P. for respondents.

WITH
WRIT PETITION NO. 1100 OF 2017

Eknath Bhagwanrao Saybar

Petitioner

Versus

The State of Maharashtra
& others

Respondents

Mr. S.P. Joshi, advocate for petitioner.
Mr. S.B. Yawalkar, A.G.P. for respondents.

WITH
WRIT PETITION NO. 1101 OF 2017

Rameshwar Pandharinath Phapal

Petitioner

Versus

The State of Maharashtra
& others

Respondents

Mr. S.P. Joshi, advocate for petitioner.
Mr. S.B. Yawalkar, A.G.P. for respondents.

WITH
WRIT PETITION NO. 1102 OF 2017

Jalindar s/o Kacharu Jogdand

Petitioner

Versus

The State of Maharashtra
& others

Respondents

Mr. S.P. Joshi, advocate for petitioner.
Mr. S.B. Yawalkar, A.G.P. for respondents.

WITH
WRIT PETITION NO. 1103 OF 2017

Sominath Bhagwan Gaat

Petitioner

Versus

The State of Maharashtra
& others

Respondents

Mr. S.P. Joshi, advocate for petitioner.
Mr. S.B. Yawalkar, A.G.P. for respondents.

WITH
WRIT PETITION NO. 1104 OF 2017

Pandurang Ginyandev Vighne

Petitioner

Versus

The State of Maharashtra
& others

Respondents

Mr. S.P. Joshi, advocate for petitioner.
Mr. S.B. Yawalkar, A.G.P. for respondents.

WITH
WRIT PETITION NO. 1105 of 2017

Angad Ramchandra Devkar

Petitioner

Versus

The State of Maharashtra
& others

Respondents

Mr. S.P. Joshi, advocate for petitioner.
Mr. S.B. Yawalkar, A.G.P. for respondents.

WITH
WRIT PETITION NO. 1106 OF 2017

Shrikrushna Vajjnathrao Mate

Petitioner

Versus

The State of Maharashtra
& others

Respondents

Mr. S.P. Joshi, advocate for petitioner.
Mr. S.B. Yawalkar, A.G.P. for respondents.

WITH
WRIT PETITION NO. 1107 OF 2017

Eknath Vishambhar Lambud

Petitioner

Versus

The State of Maharashtra
& others

Respondents

Mr. S.P. Joshi, advocate for petitioner.
Mr. S.B. Yawalkar, A.G.P. for respondents.

WITH
WRIT PETITION NO. 1108 OF 2017

Yogesh s/o Pandurang Pawar

Petitioner

Versus

The State of Maharashtra
& others

Respondents

Mr. S.P. Joshi, advocate for petitioner.
Mr. S.B. Yawalkar, A.G.P. for respondents.

WITH
WRIT PETITION NO. 1109 OF 2017

Dnyanoba Namdeo Bochare

Petitioner

Versus

The State of Maharashtra
& others

Respondents

Mr. S.P. Joshi, advocate for petitioner.
Mr. S.B. Yawalkar, A.G.P. for respondents.

WITH
WRIT PETITION NO. 1110 OF 2017

Santoshkumar Bansidharrao Kate

Petitioner

Versus

The State of Maharashtra
& others

Respondents

Mr. S.P. Joshi, advocate for petitioner.
Mr. S.B. Yawalkar, A.G.P. for respondents.

WITH
WRIT PETITION NO. 1111 OF 2017

Ganesh Vitthal Khade

Petitioner

Versus

The State of Maharashtra
& others

Respondents

Mr. S.P. Joshi, advocate for petitioner.
Mr. S.B. Yawalkar, A.G.P. for respondents.

WITH
WRIT PETITION NO. 1112 OF 2017

Devidas s/o Ananda Rathod

Petitioner

Versus

The State of Maharashtra
& others

Respondents

Mr. S.P. Joshi, advocate for petitioner.
Mr. S.B. Yawalkar, A.G.P. for respondents.

WITH
WRIT PETITION NO. 1113 OF 2017

Dattatraya s/o Bhausahab Rajgire Petitioner

Versus

The State of Maharashtra
& others Respondents

Mr. S.P. Joshi, advocate for petitioner.
Mr. S.B. Yawalkar, A.G.P. for respondents.

WITH
WRIT PETITION NO. 1114 OF 2017

Dadasaheb s/o Bhaginath Lande Petitioner

Versus

The State of Maharashtra
& others Respondents

Mr. S.P. Joshi, advocate for petitioner.
Mr. S.B. Yawalkar, A.G.P. for respondents.

WITH
WRIT PETITION NO. 1115 OF 2017

Mahadev Ghansham Rathod Petitioner

Versus

The State of Maharashtra
& others Respondents

Mr. S.P. Joshi, advocate for petitioner.
Mr. S.B. Yawalkar, A.G.P. for respondents.

WITH
WRIT PETITION NO. 1116 OF 2017

Laxman Rambhau Shingade

Petitioner

Versus

The State of Maharashtra
& others

Respondents

Mr. S.P. Joshi, advocate for petitioner.
Mr. S.B. Yawalkar, A.G.P. for respondents.

WITH
WRIT PETITION NO.1117 OF 2017

Vishnu Rama Avachar

Petitioner

Versus

The State of Maharashtra
& others

Respondents

Mr. S.P. Joshi, advocate for petitioner.
Mr. S.B. Yawalkar, A.G.P. for respondents.

**CORAM : R.M.BORDE &
SMT. VIBHA KANKANWADI, JJ.
DATE : 21st SEPTEMBER, 2017**

PER COURT:

1. Request made by petitioners in the representation dated 25.08.2016 itself is contrary to the scheme framed by the State Government in respect of appointment and functions of Gram Rojgar Sewak. Petitioners have tendered a request to the authorities to consider them eligible for conferment of permanency in employment and to pay monthly wages.

2. The scheme framed by the State Government declared under the resolution dated 02.05.2011 stipulates appointment of Gram Rojgar Sewak on part time basis. It is clearly recorded in the scheme itself that payment to the Gram Rojgar Sewak would be in tune with the responsibility conferred upon him. It is however, provided that such Gram Rojgar Sewak would neither be considered as the employee of the State Government, Zilla Parishad or Panchayat Samiti and, the appointment would be purely on temporary basis. They also cannot be considered to be the employees of the concerned Village Panchayat.

3. Since the scheme itself does not stipulate employment of the concerned Gram Rojgar Sewak on permanent establishment, the request made by petitioners in the representation dated 25.08.2016 cannot be directed to be considered favourably. It would however, be open for the petitioners to make suitable request in consonance with the scheme formulated by the State Government.

4. Writ petitions accordingly stand disposed of.

SMT. VIBHA KANKANWADI
JUDGE

R.M.BORDE
JUDGE