

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

REVIEW APPLICATION NO. 233 OF 2017

IN

WRIT PETITION NO. 12536 OF 2015

Pratapsingh s/o Tahalsingh ... Applicant.
Pujari

VERSUS

The State of Maharashtra, and ... Respondents.
others.

...

Mr. P.D. Shinde, Advocate for applicant.

Mr. S.G. Karlikar, A.G.P for respondent No.1.

**CORAM : S. V. GANGAPURWALA &
 K. L. WADANE, JJ.**

DATE : 8th December, 2017

ORDER:

1. The review is sought of the order dated
06.03.2017.

2. Mr. Shinde, learned counsel submits that, the
present applicant has secured opinion from experts i.e.
Assistant Professor from Dr. Babasaheb Ambedkar
Marathwada University, so also, the member of the
Maharashtra Rajya Marathi Vishwakosh Nirmitti Mandal,
stating that none is the correct option, however, if
marks are to be given it should be given to option 'B'.
So also opinion of Dr. Ambhure says that correct option

would 'B'. Learned counsel submits that in the M.P.S.C. examination held in the year 1997 for the same question, the correct option was given as 'C'.

3. In the present matter the correct option as per the experts answer key is 'C'. The applicant had answered the option 'D'.

4. While disposing of the writ petition we observed that this Court cannot sit over the decision of the expert, as this Court would not possess necessary expertise in Marathi literature. The opinions sought to be produced by the applicant show that there is no consistency in all these opinions. So also the correct option as per the answer key of the M.P.S.C. examination held in 1997 is the same as here i.e. 'C'.

5. Considering the fact that the answers were assessed as per the answer key and the results were declared and the selection process conducted, no case for review.

6. In the light of above, review application is dismissed. No costs.

(K. L. WADANE, J.)

(S. V. GANGAPURWALA, J.)

mkd