

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY,
BENCH AT AURANGABAD

WRIT PETITION NO.8106 OF 2016

Balasaheb s/o Ghansham Kadam ... PETITIONER

VERSUS

Nandabai w/o Kashinath Kadam
and others ... RESPONDENTS

.....
Shri G.K. Naik Thigale, Advocate for petitioner
.....

CORAM: S. B. SHUKRE, J.

DATED: 21st February, 2017.

ORAL ORDER :

1. Heard. By this petition, the order dated 10/3/2016, granting application vide Exh.73, moved by the respondents for leading further oral evidence has been challenged.

2. On perusal of the order, I do not see any patent illegality or perversity. Rather, the order has been passed taking a broader view that the respondents who are claiming compensation for loss should be given further opportunity to adduce evidence of the witnesses, whose names are already

indicated by them on earlier occasions. Learned counsel for the petitioner does not show any patent illegality or perversity in the order. The Writ Petition stands summarily dismissed with costs.

(S. B. SHUKRE)
JUDGE

fmp/wp8106.16