

2. In nutshell, it is the contention of learned counsel for the petitioners that on 24th March, 2017 and 27th March, 2017 the counsel representing applicants could not attend the Court. He had filed

leave-note to that effect with the Registry. Due to his absence, the petition came to be dismissed. He therefore urged to set aside the order and restore the petition.

3. On the other hand, the learned counsel for the respondent submits that no sufficient cause has been assigned for absence on 24th March, 2017 and 27th March, 2017.

4. Considering the submissions advanced, I am of the view that, in the larger interest of Justice, application deserves to be allowed. Application is allowed in terms of prayer clauses 'B' and 'C'. Writ Petition is restored on its original number.

(V.L. ACHLIYA, J.)