

drp

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE OF BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD

CIVIL APPLICATION NO.4458 OF 2017
IN
SECOND APPEAL NO.934 OF 2005

Rachappa Maharudrappa Dyade (Died) LRs & Ors. APPLICANTS

VERSUS

Kishan Madhav Pensalwar and Another

RESPONDENTS

.....
Mr. Pavan S. Tele, Advocate for the appellant
Mr. V. M. Vibhute, Advocate for respondent No.1
.....

[CORAM : SUNIL P. DESHMUKH, J.]

DATE : 7th APRIL, 2017

ORDER :

1. By this civil application, the parties seek disposal of second appeal No.934 of 2005 in terms of the compromise arrived at among the parties.
2. Learned advocates on either side state that the parties have arrived at amicable settlement and have reduced the terms of settlement into writing referring to the same as "*compromise terms*". Learned advocates further state that the terms of compromise are lawful and are neither forbidden by any law nor are opposed to public policy. It has further been submitted that the terms are not oppressive to any of the parties. The terms

have been understood and appreciated by the parties. The terms and its implications have been explained to the parties and thereafter they have accepted the same.

2. Learned advocates state that the terms of compromise are duly verified before the Registrar and parties, in person, had appeared before the Registrar and have been identified by respective learned advocates.

3. In view of aforesaid, civil application stands allowed in terms of prayer clause "B" and is disposed of.

[SUNIL P. DESHMUKH, J.]