

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

CONTEMPT PETITION NO.304 OF 2017

I

WRIT PETITION NO.3917 OF 2016

Lalchand Avachit Patil ..Petitioner

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra
and ors. ..Respondents

--

Mr.S.P.Brahme, Advocate for petitioner
Mrs.A.V.Gondhalekar, AGP for respondent nos.1 and 2

--

**CORAM : S.V. GANGAPURWALA AND
SANGITRAO S. PATIL, JJ.**

DATE : APRIL 13, 2017

PER COURT :

Heard learned Counsel for the petitioner.
He states that the petitioner was respondent no.6 in
the original Writ Petition and this Court had held
that respondent no.6 would be entitled to salary for
the period he has worked as Principal. He submits
that the salary for the said period is not yet paid.

2. The Writ Petition was filed challenging the
continuation given to the present petitioner to work

as Principal in the respondent-College. We had upheld the said contention and set aside the continuation of the petitioner as Principal and had negatived the prayer of the petitioner in the Writ Petition, to the extent that respondent no.6 i.e. present petitioner would not be entitled for the salary. There was no direction in positive nature as against any authority. In case the present petitioner is not paid salary, he may redress his grievance in appropriate proceedings. However, it cannot give rise to contempt.

3. The Contempt Petition stands disposed of.
No costs.

[SANGITRAO S. PATIL, J.] [S.V. GANGAPURWALA, J.]