

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**CRIMINAL APPLICATION NO. 1576 OF 2017
IN
CRIM. REVISION APPLICATION NO. 98 OF 2017**

Vilas s/o Vishnu Bhatkude

..... APPLICANT

V E R S U S

Neeta w/o Vilas Bhatkude

..... RESPONDENT

.....

Mr. S.G.Bobade, Advocate for Applicant.

.....

CORAM : V.L.ACHLIYA, J.

DATE : 20th APRIL, 2017

.....

ORDER :

1. The applicant has moved this application for condonation of delay of 993 days caused in filing Revision against the order dated 30/04/2014 of interim maintenance passed by the Family Court, Aurangabad in Proceeding No. B-5/2012.

2. Heard learned counsel for the applicant and perused the application. In paragraph No. 2 of the

application, the applicant has stated that there is delay of 50 days in filing application and same is not deliberate. It is rather surprising to note that the Advocate representing the party is even not able to calculate the delay properly. The impugned order was passed on 30/04/2014. The application was presented on 14/03/2017. By no stretch of imagination, the delay can be said to have been of 50 days. It is only after the office has calculated the delay of 993 days, the applicant has corrected the prayer clause and in place of figure '50', figure '993' has been incorporated. There is absolutely no cause assigned to condone the delay of 993 days in filing the Revision Application. In absence of any cause, no case is made out to entertain the application. Delay can not be condoned merely on asking by the party. I am, therefore, not inclined to entertain the application.

3. The application is rejected.

[V.L.ACHLIYA, J.]