

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD

WRIT PETITION NO. 4178 OF 2016

Radhakishan Rangnathrao Kahvale .. **Petitioner**

Versus

The State of Maharashtra and others .. **Respondents**

Shri M. M. Choudhri, Advocate for the Petitioner.

Mrs. R. P. Gour, A. G. P. for Respondent No. 1.

Shri Deelip N. Patil Bankar, Advocate for Respondent Nos. 2 and 3.

Respondent No. 4 served.

**CORAM : S. V. GANGAPURWALA &
MANGESH S. PATIL, JJ.**

DATE : 28th September, 2017

PER COURT :

1. Mr. Choudhari, learned counsel for the petitioner on instructions, states that the petitioner would make a fresh comprehensive presentation on the basis of the Government Resolution dated 6th May, 2014.

2. Mr. Patil, learned counsel for respondents submits that, the earlier representation was decided pursuant to the Government Resolution on which the petitioner had relied. There was no occasion to consider the Government Resolution dated 6th May, 2014.

3. The petitioner may make a fresh representation as per Government Resolution dated 6th May, 2014, which representation would be considered by the respondents on its own merits expeditiously and preferably within 6 months from the date of representation.

4. The writ petition is disposed of. No costs.

[MANGESH S. PATIL, J.] [S. V. GANGAPURWALA, J.]

marathe/sep.17