

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

WRIT PETITION NO. 8239 OF 2016

Akansha Enterprises
Atulsinh Kamalsinh Hada Jalgaon .. Petitioner

Versus

The State of Maharashtra and others .. Respondents

Shri B. S. Deshmukh Advocate for the Petitioner.
Shri P. S. Patil, Addl.G.P. for Respondent Nos. 1 to 3.

**CORAM : S. V. GANGAPURWALA AND
S. M. GAVHANE, JJ.**

DATE : 09TH NOVEMBER, 2017.

FINAL ORDER :

. Mr. Deshmukh, the learned counsel for the petitioner submits that, the petitioner had moved an application/representation with the respondent No. 2/Collector seeking refund of the amount of deposit, as the petitioner could not excavate the sand. No decision is taken on it as yet.

2. We have also heard Mr. Patil, the learned Additional Government Pleader for respondents.

3. In case the representation (Exhibit F page 48) is pending with the respondent No. 2, then the respondent No. 2 shall take

decision on it, on its own merits, in accordance with law, considering all relevant aspects of the matter, expeditiously and preferably within a period of six (06) months from today. The writ petition accordingly is disposed of. No costs.

[S. M. GAVHANE, J.]

[S. V. GANGAPURWALA, J.]

bsb/Nov. 17