

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

WRIT PETITION NO. 3856 OF 2017

Shaikh Pashu Shaikh Yasin .. Petitioner

Versus

The State of Maharashtra and others .. Respondents

Shri S. D. Tawshikar, Advocate for the Petitioner.

Shri R. B. Bagul, A. G. P. for Respondent Nos. 1 and 2.

**CORAM : S. V. GANGAPURWALA AND
SANGITRAO S. PATIL, JJ.**

DATE : 23RD MARCH, 2017.

PER COURT :

. The petitioner seeks directions against the respondents to decide his application seeking construction permission. In fact, the petitioner had earlier filed writ petition. In the said writ petition directions were already issued to decide said application. For the same purpose separate writ petition would not be necessary.

2. The petitioner may take such steps as may be permissible in law. The writ petition is disposed of. No costs.

[SANGITRAO S. PATIL, J.]

[S. V. GANGAPURWALA, J.]