

(1)

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

WRIT PETITION NO. 3875 OF 2017

Kiran Nago Salunke,
Age: 57 years, Occu: Service,
R/o. Plot No.17, Adarshanagar,
Sakri, Taluka Sakri, District Dhule

..PETITIONER

VERSUS

1. The State of Maharashtra,
Through Secretary,
School Education & Sports Department,
Mantralaya, Mumbai
2. The Director of Education,
Maharashtra State, Pune
3. The Education officer (Secondary),
Zilla Parishad, Dhule,
Taluka and District Dhule
4. Sakri Taluka Education Society,
Sakri, Taluka Sakri, District Dhule
Through its Secretary

..RESPONDENTS

**WITH
WRIT PETITION NO. 3881 OF 2017**

Nimba Deorao Nandre,
Age: 55 years, Occu: Service,
R/o. Plot No.165, Suraj Kesari Nagar,
Korit Road, Nandurbar,
Taluka and District Nandurbar

..PETITIONER

VERSUS

1. The State of Maharashtra,
Through Secretary,
School Education & Sports Department,
Mantralaya, Mumbai
2. The Director of Education,
Maharashtra State, Pune

(2)

3. The Education officer (Secondary),
Zilla Parishad, Dhule,
Taluka and District Dhule
4. Sakri Taluka Education Society,
Sakri, Taluka Sakri, District Dhule
Through its Secretary ..RESPONDENTS

**WITH
WRIT PETITION NO. 3887 OF 2017**

Vilas Prabhakar Gosavi,
Age: 41 years, Occu: Service,
R/o. Plot No.35, Janki Nagar,
Perejpur Road, Sakri,
Taluka Sakri, District Dhule ..PETITIONER

VERSUS

1. The State of Maharashtra,
Through Secretary,
School Education & Sports Department,
Mantralaya, Mumbai
2. The Director of Education,
Maharashtra State, Pune
3. The Education officer (Secondary),
Zilla Parishad, Dhule,
Taluka and District Dhule
4. Sakri Taluka Education Society,
Sakri, Taluka Sakri, District Dhule
Through its Secretary ..RESPONDENTS

Mr Amol S. Sawant, Advocate for petitioners;
Mrs V. N. Patil Jadhav, A.G.P. for respondent Nos. 1 to 3;
Mr Mangesh M. Jadhav, Advocate holding for Mr S. P. Shah, Advocate for
respondent No.4

**CORAM : SHANTANU S. KEMKAR &
NITIN W. SAMBRE, JJ.**

DATE : 18th August, 2017

(3)

ORAL ORDER

Feeling aggrieved by the suspension order dated 20th January, 2017 (Exh.G), the petitioners filed representations and have filed this petition.

2. Today, when the matters came up for hearing, after arguing for some time, learned Counsel for the petitioners submitted that these petitions be disposed of by directing respondent no.2 to consider and decide petitioners' representations dated 30th January, 2017 (Exh.H) against the impugned suspension orders as expeditiously as possible.

3. Without commenting upon merits of the matters, we dispose of these petitions by directing respondent no.2 to take appropriate decision on the petitioners' representations dated 30th January, 2017 (Exh.H) as expeditiously as possible, preferably within three months from the date of receipt of copy of this order.

4. With aforesaid directions, petitions stand disposed of.

(NITIN W. SAMBRE, J.)

(SHANTANU S. KEMKAR, J.)

amj