

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 4921 OF 2016
IN
SECOND APPEAL (STAMP) NO. 8655 OF 2016**

Smt. Surekha Deoram Barkale
and another ...Applicants

Versus

The State of Maharashtra
and others ...Respondents

...

Mr. P. A. Madhukar., Advocate for applicants
Mr. A. P. Basarkar, AGP for respondent no. 1/State
Mr. M. K. Goyanka, Advocate for respondent no. 2

...

CORAM: SUNIL P. DESHMUKH, J.

Date: 6th January, 2017

PER COURT:

1. Learned advocate for the applicants points out that delay is sought to be accounted for under the reasons as are appearing in civil application.

2. Learned advocates for the respondents though purport to resist are not in a position to dispute veracity of the reasons. In the facts and circumstances, it would be expedient to condone the delay occurred in filling second

appeal.

3. In view of aforesaid, civil application stands granted in terms of prayer clause (B) and is disposed of.

(SUNIL P. DESHMUKH)
JUDGE

VDK