

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD

WRIT PETITION NO. 1645 OF 2011

AJIT RAMKRISHNA VISPUTE AND ORS.
VERSUS
THE SECTION OFFICER GOVT OF MAHARASHTRA PRAVESH
NIYANTRAN SAMITI, MUMBAI AND ORS.

WITH
WRIT PETITION NO. 2898 OF 2011

FOSTER DEVELOPMENT AURANGABAD AND ANR.
VERSUS
THE MAHARASHTRA UNIVERSITY OF HEALTH SCIENCES WANI
ROAD, NASIK, THROUGH REGISTRAR AND ORS.

...

W.P. 1645/2011 -

Mr. V.Y. Patil, Advocate for Petitioners
Mr. P.K. Lakhotiya, AGP for respondent - State
Mr. S.V. Adwant, Advocate for respondent no. 2
Mr. K.C. Sant, Advocate for respondent no. 5
Respondents no.3 and 4 served - absent

W.P. 2898/2011 -

Mr. S.V. Adwant, Advocate for petitioners
Mr. K.C. Sant, Advocate for Respondent no.1
Mr. P.K. Lakhotiya, AGP for Respondent
Mr. S.B. Bhosale, Advocate for respondent no.3
Mr. Vijay Patil, Advocate for respondents no. 4 to 9
Mr. S.V. Kulkarni, Advocate for respondent no.10

...

CORAM : SUNIL P. DESHMUKH &
SANGITRAO S. PATIL, JJ.
DATE : 04-12-2017

ORDER :

1. Learned counsel for the parties are *ad-idem* that with passage of time, the efficacy of Writ Petition no. 1645 of 2011 stands worked out since the petitioners have undergone the educational courses and also have been conferred with degrees by the University.
2. Learned counsel for petitioners in Writ Petition no. 2898 of 2011 as well resiles to the situation and purports to act fairly, stating that the management is no longer interested in prosecuting the Petition further in view of aforesaid position.
3. Writ Petition no. 1645 of 2011, as such, stands disposed of, as its purpose appears to have been worked out under the interim order. Rule made absolute accordingly.
4. In view of aforesaid, Writ Petition no. 2898 of 2011 also stands disposed of. Rule stands discharged in said Writ Petition.

[SANGITRAO S. PATIL]
JUDGE

[SUNIL P. DESHMUKH]
JUDGE

arp/