

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

WRIT PETITION NO.3331 OF 2014

Rajubai Ansiram Adhav

.. Petitioner

Versus

The State of Maharashtra
and others

.. Respondents

Mr.Shailendra S. Kulkarni, Advocate for the petitioner
Mr.S.G. Karlekar, AGP for respondent Nos. 1 to 3
Mr.S.G. Sangle, Advocate for respondent No.4

**CORAM : R.M. BORDE &
S.M. GAVHANE, JJ.
DATED : 09.08.2017**

P.C. :-

. It would be open for the petitioner to avail of the remedies for redressal of his grievance, in respect of loss caused to the agricultural income for a period between 2007 to 2013 by taking up appropriate proceedings since the issue in respect of quantifying the amount of compensation involves investigation of facts. The said issue need not be dealt with in exercise jurisdiction under Article 226 of the Constitution of India.

2. Learned counsel appearing for the respondent Nos. 4 to 6 informs that appropriate measures have been taken to ensure that there would be no water logging in

the land belonging to the petitioner. In view of the report placed on record in respect of completion of the technical work the grievance raised by the petitioner in respect of deficiencies in construction of drain, stands redressed.

3. The writ petition is disposed of with liberty to the petitioner to take appropriate steps as permissible in law.

[S.M. GAVHANE, J.]

[R.M. BORDE, J.]