

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE OF BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD

CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 4359 OF 2017
IN WP/5492/2016

VASANT JANARDAN PAWAR
VERSUS
YASHODEEP SWAYAM ROJGAR SEVA SAHAKARI SANSTHA

...
Advocate for Applicant : Shri Prakash S. Paranjape
Advocate for Respondents : Shri A.N.Irpatgire

...
CORAM : RAVINDRA V. GHUGE, J.
Dated: October 06, 2017
...

PER COURT :-

1. The applicant claims to be a political worker and the Secretary of the Kantrati Kamgar Sanghatana Pune, which is concerned with the Provident Fund matters between non-applicant No.1 and non-applicant No.2.

2. Shri Paranjape, learned Advocate for the applicant submits that the applicant has a serious apprehension that a false statement was made before this Court that the PF. amount concerning the workers has been deposited by the management with the PF. establishment, based upon the letter dated 3.7.2015 Exhibit "X", cited before this Court.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that Exhibit "X" is self

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE OF BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD

2 - CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 4359 OF 2017

explanatory and the learned counsel for the P.F. Department has admitted that the amount mentioned in Exhibit "X" has been deposited with his office.

4. Shri Irpatgire, learned Advocate, on instructions, submits that the appeal of the petitioner before the National P.F. Appellate Tribunal is still pending. A Bench of the Tribunal has been created at Mumbai and his proceedings would be very soon transferred to the Bench at Mumbai.

5. Shri Paranjape, learned Advocate for the applicant submits that he would intervene in the proceedings before the Appellate Tribunal by filing an application.

6. Considering the above, this Application is disposed off with liberty to the applicant to move an application for intervention before the Appellate Tribunal and the same shall be considered on its own merits by the Tribunal.

(RAVINDRA V. GHUGE, J.)

...