

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE
AT BOMBAY**

BENCH AT AURANGABAD.

WRIT PETITION NO.2204 OF 2013

Smt.Sumitra W/o Thakur
Gavit. ... Petitioner.

Versus

The State of Maharashtra
and others. ... Respondents.

...
Mr.U.P.Giri, advocate for the petitioner.
Mr.M.M.Nerlikar, A.G.P. for the State.

...

CORAM : S.V.GANGAPURWALA J.

Date : 28.08.2017.

PER COURT :

1. The petitioner assails the notice dated
14.3.2013.

2. This Court under order dated 3.4.2013,
had directed the petitioner to deposit

Rs.5,00,000/- (Rupees five lacs) and had further directed the Respondents not to take any coercive steps.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner states that the petitioner has deposited the amount of Rs.5,00,000/- (Rupees five lacs) and the Respondents have withdrawn the said amount. The learned counsel further states that petitioner is ready to settle the account under one time settlement.

4. The petitioner may approach the Bank for the said purpose.

5. In fact, the purpose of the Writ Petition stood served. If fresh action is sought to be taken by the Bank naturally, fresh notice will be required to be issued.

6. Considering above, nothing survives in the Writ Petition now. The Writ Petition is

disposed of. No costs.

(S . V . GANGAPURWALA, J .)

asp/office/wp2204.13

