drp

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE OF BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD

WRIT PETITION NO.9238 OF 2017

Shrawan Raising Patil PETITIONER

VERSUS

Sindhubai Shrawan Patil and Another RESPONDENTS

.....

Mr. Pushkar S. Shendurnikar, Advocate for the petitioner
Mr. Madhav C. Ghode, Advocate for respondent No. 1
Mr. S. P. Tiwari, AGP for respondent No. 2 State

.....

[CORAM : SUNIL P. DESHMUKH, J.]

DATE : 19th JULY, 2017

ORDER :

1. Learned advocates on either side state that the parties have arrived at amicable settlement and have reduced the terms of settlement into writing referring to the same as "*memorandum of settlement*". Learned advocates further state that the terms of compromise are lawful and are neither forbidden by any law nor are opposed to public policy. It has further been submitted that the terms are not oppressive to any of the parties. The terms have been understood and appreciated by the parties. The terms and its implications have been explained to the parties and thereafter they have accepted the same.

2. Learned advocates state that the terms of compromise are duly verified before the Registrar and parties, in person, had appeared before the Registrar and have been identified by respective learned advocates.

3. As such, writ petition stands allowed in terms of prayer clause "A", in terms of settlement at paragraph No. E of the memorandum of settlement and stands disposed of.

[SUNIL P. DESHMUKH, J.]

drp/wp9238-17