

**IN THE HIGH COURT AT BOMBAY
APPELLATE SIDE, BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

921 WRIT PETITION NO. 11610 OF 2016
WITH CA/3755/2017 IN WP/11610/2016

VAISHNAVDEVI SHIKSHAN PRASARAK BAHUDDDESHIYA MANDAL
THROUGH ITS PRESIDENT SHIVAJI

VERSUS

THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA AND ANOTHER

...

Advocate for Petitioners : Mr. N.P. Patil-Jamalpurkar

AGP for Respondent/State : Mr. S.B. Yawalkar

Advocate for Respondent 2 : Mr. P.D. Suryawanshi

Advocate for intervenor : Mr. V.D. Salunke

...

**CORAM : SHANTANU S. KEMKAR &
NITIN W. SAMBRE, JJ.**

DATED : August 29, 2017.

PER COURT :

. Heard the learned counsel for intervenor on intervention application. The learned counsel for petitioner submits that he has no objection to the application. Accordingly, the application filed for intervention is allowed.

2. The learned counsel for respondents seeks time to file reply. Four weeks time as prayed is granted.

[NITIN W. SAMBRE, J.] [SHANTANU S. KEMKAR, J.]

ssc/