

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD

WRIT PETITION NO. 2596 OF 2017

Lalu s/o Parasram Pawar

Petitioner

V E R S U S

The State of Maharashtra, Through
its Secretary, Revenue and Forest
Department, Mantralaya, Mumbai-32
and five others

Respondents

Mr. K.S. Chavan, Advocate for the petitioner
Mr. Y.G. Gujrathi, A.G.P. for respondents/State

**CORAM : S.V.GANGAPURWALA AND
K.L. WADANE, JJ.**

DATE : 23rd FEBRUARY, 2017

PER COURT :

1. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the Gram Sabha has passed the resolution opposing the auction of the sand spot, but the same has not been considered by the respondents. According to the learned counsel, the procedure for the same is also not followed.

2. Learned A.G.P. submits that the petitioner

has remedy before the Committee which is duly constituted.

3. In view of that, though Mr.Chavan, learned counsel for the petitioner canvassed submissions on merits of the matter, we are not inclined to consider the same. The petitioner may avail alternate remedy before the Committee. In case such application is filed, the Committee shall consider the same on its own merits and decide it within 15 days from the date of receipt of application.

4. Writ Petition is disposed of. No costs.

(K.L. WADANE, J.)

(S.V. GANGAPURWALA, J.)

