

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

999 WRIT PETITION NO. 10066 OF 2016
WITH
CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 3018 OF 2017

ASHOK NILKANTH DHALE
VERSUS
THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA AND OTHERS

...

Advocate for Petitioner : Mr. Biradar R.D.
AGP for Respondents/State : Mr. M.B. Bharaswadkar
Advocate for Respondents : Mr. U.B. Bondar for R/3.
Advocate for Respondents : Mr. N.B. Ghute for R/4 & 5

...

**CORAM : S.V. GANGAPURWALA &
MANGESH S. PATIL, JJ.
DATE : 06.09.2017**

P.C. :-

. It is submitted that during the pendency of the writ petition,
the respondent Education Officer rejected the proposal of the petitioner.

2. Considering the fact that, it is a subsequent event the civil
application is allowed in terms of prayer clause 'B'. Civil application
stands disposed of.

[MANGESH S. PATIL, J.]

[S.V. GANGAPURWALA, J.]