

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**CONTEMPT PETITION NO. 223 OF 2012
IN WP/8019/2009**

**KUSUM SHANKARRAO BANSODE AND ORS.
VERSUS
THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA AND ORS.**

--

Mr.U.R.Awate, Advocate i/b. Mr.S.B.Talekar,
Advocate for petitioners

Mrs.M.A.Deshpande, AGP for respondent State

--

**CORAM : S.V. GANGAPURWALA AND
SANGITRAO S. PATIL, JJ.
DATE : MARCH 20, 2017**

PER COURT :

Heard.

2. The learned Counsel for the petitioners states that the petitioners have been given the arrears and the pay fixation has been done. He submits that in respect of petitioner nos.3 and 4, however, now the respondents are saying that the post of petitioner nos.3 and 4 is not mentioned in the Government Resolution and the amount is to be recovered from these petitioners. In our view, it

would be a different cause of action. The petitioners may take steps with regard to the same.

3. As the order in question has been complied with by the respondents, the contempt stands purged. The Contempt Petition stands disposed of accordingly.

[SANGITRAO S. PATIL, J.] [S.V. GANGAPURWALA, J.]

kbp