

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

PUBLIC INTEREST LITIGATION NO. 73 OF 2014

SUHAS MUKUND SATHE
VERSUS
THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA AND OTHERS

...

None for Petitioner.

AGP for Respondents/ State : Mr.S.G.Karlekar.

Advocate for Respondent 4 : Mr.Milind Patil.

...

**CORAM: S.C. DHARMADHIKARI
AND
MANGESH S. PATIL, JJ.**

DATE :- 27th July, 2017

PC.:

1 The Petitioner and his Advocate, both are absent. As this is Public Interest Litigation, we would not be justified in dismissing it. However, we find that the Petitioner has not only impleaded the statutory authorities, but ex-minister as party Respondents. The Petitioner says that the working of Respondent No.4/ Municipal Council was not satisfactory. There was misappropriation allegedly of public money. There was hue and cry, but no action has been taken against Respondent No.6/ President. He wants the President to be proceeded against under Section 55-A of the Maharashtra Municipal Councils, Nagar Panchayats and Industrial Townships Act, 1965.

2 We do not think that materials and relied upon in this petition

are enough for us so as to substitute our opinion with that of the Collector and other officers. The Public Interest Litigation is, therefore, dismissed.

kps

(MANGESH S. PATIL, J.)

(S.C. DHARMADHIKARI, J.)