**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY**
**BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**REJECTED CASE NO. 737 OF 2016**
**IN**
**SECOND APPEAL NO. 381 of 2005**

Shivaji Lahu Patil and others ...APPLICANTS
VERSUS
Maharu S/o Soma Mavachi and others ...RESPONDENTS

Shri S.P. Shah, Advocate for respondents No.1 to 3.
Shri P.M. Gaikwad, Advocate for respondents No.1, 2A to 2D, 3 & 4

**CORAM : N.W. SAMBRE, J.**

**DATE : 4th August, 2017**

**ORAL ORDER**

Shri Gaikwad, learned Advocate, waives service of notice for legal representatives of respondent No.2 i.e. respondents No. 2-A to 2-D, and in view of no objection, the application stands allowed in terms of prayer clause “A”, “B” and “C”.

Appropriate amendment be carried out within two weeks from today, failing which, appeal shall stand dismissed against legal representatives of respondent No.2.

**( N.W. SAMBRE, J.)**

pjm