

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD

WRIT PETITION NO. 1707 OF 2014

TUKARAM APPASAHEB LAGAD AND ANOTHER
VERSUS
DILIP APPASAHEB @ APPA LAGAD AND ANOTHER

...

Advocate for Petitioners : Mr. D.B. Rode
Advocate for respondents : Mr. S.P. Salgare h/f Mr. N.V. Gaware

...

CORAM : SUNIL P. DESHMUKH, J.
DATE : 12-12-2017

ORDER :

1. Learned counsel for petitioners states, according to his instructions, the petition has been rendered *infructuous*, since respondent no. 2 is reported to be no more. As such, the purpose underlying the Petition gets worked out.
2. Learned counsel for respondents states that his communication to respondent no.1 is not bearing fruits and packet sent by Registered Post A.D. is received back with an endorsement that "respondent no. 1 has left the address".
3. In view of the statement made on behalf of petitioners, nothing survives in the petition for decision.
4. Petition is accordingly disposed of. The suit to proceed accordingly.

[SUNIL P. DESHMUKH]
JUDGE

arp/