

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD

CONTEMPT PETITION NO. 216 OF 2017

Arun Bhagwanrao Khardekar and Others ...Petitioners

Versus

The State of Maharashtra and others ...Respondents

Mr. S. M. Kulkarni, Advocate for the petitioners

Mr. S. B. Yawalkar, A.G.P. for the respondents No.1 to 3/State

Mr. S. D. Hiwrekar, Advocate for respondents No.4 and 5

**CORAM : R. M. BORDE &
SMT. VIBHA KANKANWADI. JJ.**

DATE : 13-11-2017

PER COURT :

1. Not on board taken on board on request of learned counsel appearing for parties.
2. The learned counsel appearing for petitioner informs that, the direction issued by this Court has been complied with insofar as the claim raised by applicants No.2 and 3 whereas so far as applicant No.1 is concerned, the direction has been partly complied with.
3. The counsel appearing for respondent Municipal Council on instruction informs that, if at all any claim subsists, appropriate steps would be taken, as expeditiously as possible, and the directions issued

by this court will be complied with within a period of three (3) months from today.

4. In view of the statement made on behalf of respondents, on instructions, the purpose of presentation of the instant contempt petition stands satisfied. The contempt petition as such stands disposed of. Notices issued to the respondents earlier stands discharged.

[SMT. VIBHA KANKANWADI]
JUDGE

[R. M. BORDE]
JUDGE

vjg/-.