

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD

WRIT PETITION NO.3389 OF 2017

Rajesaheb Lalasaheb Sanglikar

...Petitioner

Versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

...Respondents

Mr. V. H. Solanke, Advocate for petitioner

Mr. S. B. Yawalkar, AGP for respondents No.1 to 6/ State

MS. Asha S. Rasal, Advocate for respondent No.7

Mr. U. S. Mote, Advocate for respondent No.8

**CORAM : R. M. BORDE &
SMT. VIBHA KANKANWADI. JJ.**
DATE : 10-10-2017

PER COURT :

1. An individual grievance is raised in respect of raising of illegal structure by the respondent No.7, in violation of the layout plan. It is contended that, the encroachment is raised on the road, thereby putting the petitioner and others to inconvenience. It is further pointed out that, in spite of issuance of directions by the Sub-Divisional officer and Tahsildar, to remove the encroachment, no steps have been taken.

2. It would be open for the petitioner to persuade the concerned authorities for taking appropriate steps against the encroacher.
3. It would be also open for the petitioner to avail of the alternate remedy provided under law, for redressal of the grievances.
4. No interference is called for.
5. Writ petition is devoid of merits. With liberty to avail alternate remedy provided under law, writ petition stands disposed of.

[SMT. VIBHA KANKANWADI]
JUDGE

[R. M. BORDE]
JUDGE

vjg/-.