

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

WRIT PETITION NO. 2174 OF 2017

PRAKASH GOPALRAO DEVARSHI
VERSUS
THE HEAD MASTER PRIYADARISINI PRIMARY SCHOOL LATUR AND
OTHERS

...
Advocate for Petitioner : Shri Kolhare S.R.

...
CORAM: RAVINDRA V. GHUGE, J.

DATE :- 21st February, 2017

Per Court:

1 The Petitioner is aggrieved by the order dated 15.12.2016 passed by the School Tribunal, Latur by which the application Exhibit-17 has been allowed and the Petitioner/ original Appellant is directed to implead Mr.S.S.Mule as Respondent No.4 in the appeal.

2 I have heard the learned Advocate for the Petitioner/ original Appellant.

3 There is no dispute that in paragraph 8 of the appeal memo, the Petitioner has specifically stated that the Respondent/ Management is interested in appointing the son or nephew of the Secretary in place of the

Petitioner after his termination. Consequentially, the Respondent/ Management moved an application to add Mr.S.S.Mule as Respondent No.4 in the appeal memo since he is the nephew of the Secretary.

4 By the impugned order, the School Tribunal allowed the application and considering the allegations made by the Petitioner in the memo of appeal, permitted addition of Mr.Mule as Respondent No.4.

5 The learned Advocate for the Petitioner submits, on instructions, that he would move a separate application for deleting paragraph 8 from the memo of his appeal before the School Tribunal and then Mr.Mule would not be necessary party in the proceedings.

6 The above statement with regard to which this Court is not required to make any observation, this Writ Petition is dismissed for being devoid of merit.