

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD

**LETTERS PATENT APPEAL NO. 114 OF 2015 IN
WRIT PETITION NO. 1913 OF 2010**

Bhagwanrao S/o Narayanrao Bharkad and others .. Appellants

VS.

The State of Maharashtra and others .. Respondents

Mr. U.R. Awate, Advocate for the appellants

Mr. D.R. Kale, A.G.P for the respondent/State

**CORAM : S.C. DHARMADHIKARI &
MANGESH S. PATIL, JJ.**

DATE : 29-06-2017

ORAL ORDER :

1. Having heard appellants' Advocate and perusing the order of the Industrial Court, so also that of the learned Single Judge of this Court, we find that the learned Single Judge has rightly refused to interfere with the order of the learned Member, Industrial Court.

2. Learned Member of the Industrial Court held that the petitioners were in employment from August, 1996 upto 4th July,

1997. They are not entitled to any payment thereafter. The claim for reinstatement is also rejected. We do not understand therefore the basis for claiming the wages even after this duration or period. Learned Single Judge therefore rightly held that the petition filed by the petitioners has no merit and deserves to be dismissed.

3. Such a conclusion reached by the learned Single Judge, on the basis of appreciation and appraisal of relevant materials cannot be interfered with in the absence of any perversity or error of law apparent on the face of the record.

4. In the circumstances, we do not find any merit in this Letters Patent Appeal and it is dismissed.

[MANGESH S. PATIL]
JUDGE

[S.C. DHARMADHIKARI]
JUDGE

arp/