

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

WRIT PETITION NO. 1898 OF 2017

Sahebrao Hilal Borse and others .. Petitioners

Versus

The State of Maharashtra and others .. Respondents

Shri Ajay D. Pawar, Advocate for Petitioners.
Shri R. B. Bagul, A.G.P. for Respondent Nos. 1 to 3.

**CORAM : S. V. GANGAPURWALA AND
K. L. WADANE, JJ.**

DATE : 09TH FEBRUARY, 2017.

PER COURT :

. Issue notice to respondents. The learned A. G. P. accepts notice for respondent Nos. 1 to 3.

2. Mr. Pawar, the learned counsel submits that, the petitioners herein are similarly situated persons as the petitioners in Writ Petition No. 1628 of 2016 decided under order dated December 13, 2016. The learned A. G. P. on going through the petition and the order passed in the Writ Petition No. 1628 of 2016 accedes to the fact that, the petitioners herein are similarly situated persons like that of petitioners in Writ Petition No.1628 of 2016.

3. In view of the above and for the reasons stated in order dated December, 13, 2016, in Writ Petition No. 1628 of 2016, we adopt same course.

4. The respondents are directed to examine cases of each of the individual petitioners for deciding, whether they satisfy the criteria laid down for claiming benefits under ACPS, applicable to the private aided schools under the Government Resolution dated 30.4.1998 and as modified from time to time and if it is found that, the petitioners satisfy the eligibility criteria, the respondents shall extend the benefits to the petitioners. The respondents shall scrutinize the cases of each petitioner within a period of six months from today and extend the benefits to such of eligible petitioners, as expeditiously as possible and preferably, within a period of four months from the date of scrutiny of the proposals.

5. The writ petition stands disposed of. No costs.

[K. L. WADANE, J.]

[S. V. GANGAPURWALA, J.]