

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY,
BENCH AT AURANGABAD.

33 WRIT PETITION NO. 14527 OF 2017

MADAN LADSAJI GAIKWAD
VERSUS
VENUBAI SHIVAJI JONDDHALE AND OTHERS

...
Advocate for Petitioner: Mr. A. L. Shaikh, h/f Mr. Patel Shaikh Ashpak Taher.
...

CORAM : **V. K. JADHAV, J.**
DATE : 18th December, 2017.

ORDER:

. I do not find any substance in this writ petition. By order below Exhibit 84, the Trial Court has allowed the application of the Respondents / Plaintiffs seeking amendment in the plaint. As per the observations in paragraph 9 of the impugned order, the Trial Court has allowed the amendment in the plaint only for the reason that subsequent to the stage of evidence, the Petitioner / Defendant has carried out the amendment in the written statement and therefore, permitted the Respondents / Plaintiffs to carry out the amendment. In view of same, I do not find any fault in the impugned order. The Court has also saddled some cost on the Respondents / Plaintiffs for inaction in impleading Defendant Nos.3 and 4 as party to the partition suit. The writ petition is hereby dismissed. No costs.

[V. K. JADHAV, J.]