

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY,
BENCH AT AURANGABAD

WRIT PETITION NO. 1491 OF 2014

Radhakisan s/o Maroti Jadhav .. Petitioner

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others .. Respondents

Mr. P. K. Lakhotia, Asstt. Govt. Pleader for respondents no.1 to 3
Mr. R. J. Nirmal, Advocate for respondent no. 5

CORAM : SUNIL P. DESHMUKH, J.

DATE : 29-11-2017

ORDER :

1. None for the petitioner when the matter is called out today. The matter had been on board on 27-11-2017. Since none had represented petitioner on that date, the matter was adjourned to 28-11-2017. On 28-11-2017 again there was absence of appearance on behalf of the petitioner. As observed above, when the matter is called out today as well, none for petitioner.

2. Learned counsel Mr. Nirmal appearing on behalf of respondent no. 5 states that with the passage of time, since the term of election is over, the matter has been rendered infructuous.

3. Learned Assistant Government Pleader endorses the submission of learned counsel Mr. Nirmal and further points out that disqualification had been for remainder of the term.

4. In the circumstances, petition does not survive for any efficacious decision.

5. Writ petition, as such, is disposed of as infructuous as well dismissed for non prosecution.

SUNIL P. DESHMUKH,
JUDGE

pnd